

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,446	12/31/2001	Heiner Stegmann	01234	4344
23338 7:	590 01/19/2006	EXAMINER		
DENNISON,	SCHULTZ, DOUGH	ASTORINO, MICHAEL C		
SUITE 105	NDD1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		3736	·

DATE MAILED: 01/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Idea

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	1-00 M
10/019,446	STEGMANN, HEINER	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael C. Astorino	3736	

	Michael C. Astorino	3736				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
	a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension of the standard of t	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);				
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			, the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		P. C. Cl. J				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancel the non-allowable claim(s). 						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-25</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut hoforo or on the date of filing a	Notice of Anneal will a	not he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary			
. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).				
13. Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicant has provided all new claims and canceled all previously pending claims. An examination of each of the new claims is required.

MAX F. HINDENBURG

MAX F. HINDENBURG

PATENT EXAMINER

2012 12 CONTROL SENTER 3700